

## Approved for Release 2002/06/14: CIA-RDP82-00557R000200030006-5 COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20546

158513

March 1, 1960

Disbursing Officer c/c Commander, U. S. Naval Shipyard Brancrton, Washington 98314

Laur Sir:

This is in reply to the letter of February 9, 1966, of the Industrial Manager USN 13th Naval District, reference 12300 Ser 1190-204, with enclosures, involving the payment of dependent's travel in connection with the removal employment agreement of Mr. Albert H. Stewart, Resident Industrial Manager, 13th Naval District, Kodisk, Alaska.

The record shows that fir. Stewart executed a renewal employment greater to June 28, 1965, for 12 months additional duty, and providing for home leave travel from Modick, Alaska, to Commerce, Officional, and record for Maska, to Commerce, Officional, and record for Maska, to Commercial air for Commerce, and managed for the second for commerce, and he covered under a travel authorization and renewal employment of the return from Commerce, Oklahoma, on July 15, 1965, Managed to Seattle by commercial air where she used the renewal employment to obtain a transportation request from Seatule in the second for the seatule of the seatul

The Industrial Manager, 13th Naval District, advases that do no press of official business Mr. Stewart was unable to have home home for an in anchosures reveal that he traveled in connection with varyoning official duty from Kodiak to Scattle and return between June 9-10, and again between July 19-24, 1965, and that he was again in Sea the magust 21.

The lead of February 9, 1966, indicates that Well and a manager, 13th March Salver of the Industrial Manager, 13th March Salver of the Salver of the transfer in question he has taken renewal equal to the salver of the salver o

a dependation raised by the Industrial Manager and ingreinbus ome dependation of a home leave are is to by the employee requires consideration of the distinct

B-158513

provises contained in section 7 of the Administrative Expenses Act of 1946, as amended, 5 U.S.C. 73b-3. Those provises read in part as follows.

"\* \* \* Provided further, That expenses of round trip travel of employee and transportation of immediate family but excluding household effects, from their posts of duty outside the continental United States to the places of actual residence at time of appointment or transfer to such overseas posts of duty, shall be allowed in the case of persons who have satisfactorily completed an agreed period of service overseas and are returning to their actual place of residence for the purpose of taking leave prior to serving another tour of duty at the same or some other overseas post, under a new written agreement entered into before departing from the overseas post: \* \* \* Provided further, That expenses of transportation of the immediate family and shipment of household effects of any employee from the post of duty of such employee outside continental United States to place of actual residence shall be allowed, not in excess of one time, prior to the return of such employee to the United States. including its Territories and possessions, when the employee has acquired eligibility for such transportation \* \* \* ." (Underscoring supplied.)

The first proviso in the above statute does not authorize payment the transportation expenses of the immediate family of an employed from the overseas post of duty to the actual place of residence in the continental United States and return unless the employee himself return to continental United States for the purpose of taking leave. B-1370 March 17, 1961. However, an employee's dependents most travel to do continental United States under the second proviso, above, at Govern at expense at the time he has attained eligibility for return transposatal by reason of his completion of an agreed period of service. 35 Consecution. 101; see also JTR C7003-3b(1)(2).

Since Mrs. Stewart's travel, which was commenced June 23, 193 acveral days prior to Mr. Stewart's acquiring eligibility for travel. Through completion of the required period of service, was undertaken in contemplation of the completion of the period and the execution of renewal employment travel agreement, and the further fact that meet the travel was performed after entitlement to eligibility had bec

B-158513

attained it is concluded that the requirement in that regard of the second provise of 5 U.S.C. 73b-3, quoted above, has been satisfied. 62. B-138436, February 16, 1959. However, such a dependent would not be entitled to return to Kodiak, Alaska, at Government expense since there was no round trip travel by the employee. See 36 Comp. Cen. 10; JTR C7004-2.

Thus, the claimant may be reimbursed for Mrs. Stewart's transportation expenses one way from Kodiak, Alaska, to Commerce, Oklahoma, with appropriate setoff for the cost of the travel procured under a transportation request from Scattle, Washington, to Kodiak, Alaska.

The papers transmitted with the Industrial Manager's letter are returned herewith.

Sincerely yours,

FRANK H. WEITZEL

Acting Comptroller General of the United States

Enclosures

## MISSING PAGE

ORIGINAL DOCUMENT MISSING PAGE(S):

No att.